

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,967	01/18/2002	Mu-III Lim	CP-1229	3343	
•	590 10/16/2003		EXAMINER		
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION			HARDEE, JOHN R		
WINTON HIL	L TECHNICAL CENTE		61 ART UNIT		
	ER HILL AVENUE FI. OH 45224		1751	一 7总	
	011 10221		DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003	(* .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)	— (X			
,		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/052,967	LIM ET AL.	-			
omce Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	John R Hardee	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.		nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-15				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/052,967

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-6 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Claims 7-24 are withdrawn from consideration by the examiner as being drawn to inventions non-elected without traverse.
- 2. The restriction requirement is made FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* reference is illegible. Accordingly, it has been crossed off on the IDS.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. This claim should probably depend from either claim 1 or claim 2. It has been examined on that basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/052,967

Art Unit: 1751

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schoelkopf et al., US 4,958,026. The reference discloses the preparation of compounds as depicted in Fig. II in col. 6, in which one of R10 and R11 is benzyloxy and the other is amino (col. 6, lines 49+), followed by hydrogenation or ether cleavage to convert the benzyloxy group to hydroxyl. R1 and R2 may be hydrogen or alkyl of 1-5 carbons (col. 6, line 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 10/052,967

Art Unit: 1751

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoelkopf et al., US 4,958,026. The reference discloses the preparation of compounds as depicted in Fig. II in col. 6, in which one of R10 and R11 is benzyloxy and the other is amino (col. 6, lines 49+), followed by hydrogenation or ether cleavage to convert the benzyloxy group to hydroxyl. R1 and R2 may be hydrogen or alkyl of 1-5 carbons (col. 6, line 2). Note Example 1, in which the dihydrochloride salt of the N,N-dipropyl compound is prepared. Preparation of amine compounds as salts is notoriously common in the chemical art for purposes of preparation and storage. Accordingly, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to make compounds as disclosed in Fig. II, followed by deprotection to afford the hydrochloride salts of the resulting hydroxyaniline compounds, because the reference discloses their utility as synthetic intermediates.

Art Unit: 1751

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The closest prior art of record is the reference relied upon above. It does not disclose or motivate the preparation of compounds in which the R groups pendent from the alkylamine are heterocyclic or together comprise a heterocycle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (703) 305-5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, may be reached at (703) 308-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

> John R. Hardee **Primary Examiner** October 8, 2003

Page 5